Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



# FINAL ORDER REVERT BACK TO FAA

To,

**First Appellate Authority,** o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner, Urban Development, Patiala.

#### Naresh Mittal,

S/o Late Shri Piara Lal Mittal, R/o H. No. 506, SSST Nagar, Patiala.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** 

o/o Improvement Trust, Patiala.

## Complaint Case No. 111 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

#### PRESENT:

Kinshu Mittal, Advocate (for the Complainant) 90414-88229 Sanjeev Kumar, Draftsman (for the Respondent) 97806-03783

#### ORDER:

- 1. The complainant, Naresh Mittal, filed this RTI application dated 26.9.2021 and sought information regarding Parachin Mandir Mata Shri Naina Devi Ji Trust SSST Nagar, Patiala, from the PIO o/o Improvement Trust, Patiala. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on 25.2.2021. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on 14.7.2022.
- 2. Both parties are present. The complainant, represented by his lawyer Kinshu Mittal, states that his primary objective is to obtain the information requested in the RTI application. In view of the above, this Complaint Case is **Remanded back to First Appellate Authority**, Additional Deputy Commissioner, Urban Development, Patiala, with the direction that it be heard as First Appeal.
- 3. The First Appellate Authority-cum- Additional Deputy Commissioner, Urban Development, Patiala, is hereby directed to hear both appellant and respondent PIO and hand down an appropriate decision. In the event that the complainant Naresh Mittal is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he can return to the Commission in Second Appeal. This Complaint Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.
Chandigarh
14.7.2022

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Naresh Mittal, S/o Late Shri Piara Lal Mittal, R/o H. No. 506, SSST Nagar, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Improvement Trust, Patiala.

## Complaint Case No. 112 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

#### PRESENT:

Kinshu Mittal, Advocate (for the Complainant) 90414-88229 Sanjeev Kumar, Draftsman (for the Respondent) 97806-03783

#### ORDER:

- 1. The complainant, Naresh Mittal, filed this RTI application dated 26.9.2021 and sought information <u>regarding notification Number 42 of RTI Act 1922</u>, from the PIO o/o Improvement Trust, Patiala. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on 25.2.2021. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on 14.7.2022.
- 2. Both parties are present. The complainant represented by his lawyer Kinshu Mittal, states that the requested information has been supplied by the respondent PIO.
- 3. This Complaint Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Sawinder Singh, S/o Shri Bal Singh, VPO Shutrana, Tehsil Patran, Patiala – 147 105.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Superintendent of Police, Patran, District Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala.

#### Appeal Case No. 188 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.9.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding a complaint by Balbir Ram</u>, enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **2.11.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI, Hakam Singh, has submitted a reply to this Commission's Notice of Hearing vide Letter No. 409 Dated 24.2.2022, wherein he has stated that the information was denied under Section 8(1)(h) since the matter cited in the RTI application is still under investigation in the office of DSP, Patran. This was communicated to the appellant vide Letter No. 2332 Dated 6.12.2021.
- 3. The respondent PIO's decision is upheld. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of.**

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Surjit Kaur,

W/o Late Sh. Gurnam Singh, Village Bathoi Kalan, PO Dakala, Tehsil & Distt. Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Mini Secretariat, Block-B, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police Patiala Range, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 992 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Kuldeep Singh (for the Appellant) 98765-30819 ASI Hakam Singh (for the Respondent) 62399-82833

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **27.11.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding application dated 16.9.2013</u>, enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **4.1.2022** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.2.2022** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. As per reply submitted by the respondent PIO, represented by ASI Hamkam Singh, vide Letter No. 734 Dated 28.3.2022, the record requested in the RTI application is prior to 2014. Said record is part of that which has been destroyed as per State Government Rules and hence no longer available.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Lakhvir Kumar,

S/o Jaspal Ram, Village Gandhwan, Tehsil Phagwara, Kapurthala.

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** 

o/o Executive Engineer (C), Punjab Mandi Board, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Chief Engineer, Punjab Mandi Board, Mohali.

## Appeal Case No. 3424 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **5.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated 10.5.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding allotment register, tender register, cash books etc. from 1.4.2020 to 31.3.2021, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 11.6.2021 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 29.7.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on 5.4.2022.
- 2. Both parties are absent. The respondent PIO office of Executive Engineer, Punjab Mandi Board, had as directed by this Commission, invited the appellant for inspection giving him a choice of two dates. There has been no communication from the appellant who is absent without intimation for the second time in succession.
- 3. In view of the above, the Commission deems it fit to **Dispose of** this Appeal Case.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Prem Kumar Rattan, House No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 227 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.9.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information **regarding shop vendors**, enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **30.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. The appellant has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO has submitted copies of two separate replies sent to the appellant by way of information.
- 3. The Commission has examined said replies alongside the RTI application and is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. This Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Prem Kumar Rattan, House No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 230 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Mohammad Hanif, Junior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98765-26786

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.9.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the refund of court fees etc., enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **30.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by Mohammad Haniff, Junior Assistant from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, states that a reply was sent to the appellant within the stipulated period vide Letter No. 745 Dated 10.11.2021. The appellant was once again apprised vide said reply Dated 26.5.2022.
- 3. The Commission has examined the respondent PIO's reply and is of the view that this adequately addresses the RTI application. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Prem Kumar Rattan, House No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 232 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **18.9.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding postal addresses of stamp vendors</u>, enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.10.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, has submitted a copy of the reply/information sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 384 Dated 18.2.2022.
- 3. The Commission has examined the respondent PIO's reply and is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Prem Kumar Rattan, House No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, (HRC Branch), Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 235 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **16.9.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding stamp vendors</u>, enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **16.10.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. The appellant has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO office of Deputy Commissioner, Patiala, has submitted a copy of Two replies sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 386 Dated 18.2.2022 and Letter No. 843 Dated 22.4.2022.
- 3. The Commission has examined said replies and is of the view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Prem Kumar Rattan, House No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Tehsildar, Mini Secretariat, Block –A, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 231 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Anju Rani, Junior Assistant (for the Respondent) 98559-84392

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **2.9.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding stamp vendors</u>, enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.10.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO, represented by Anju Rani, Junior Assistant, from the office of Tehsildar, Patiala, has submitted copies of the reply sent to the appellant.
- 3. The Commission has examined said reply and is of the view that this RTI application adequately addresses. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Prem Kumar Rattan, House No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi, Dhuri, Sangrur.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o District & Sessions Judge, Court Complex, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, o/o District & Session Judge, Court Complex, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 234 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:
(Appellant) Absent
Charandeep Singh, Leave Reserve Clerk (for the Respondent) 99882-20560
Sajjan Sharma, AEC (for the Respondent) 98147-03567

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **2.9.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding refund of court fees</u>, enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.10.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **30.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. The Commission has examined the RTI application and finds that the information requested pertains to as many as 43 Subordinate Courts below the District & Sessions Court, Patiala.
- 3. The appellant is advised that every subordinate Court has designated a Public Information Officer and that he must seek information from the concerned PIO for each such Court. Appellant is further advised that the PIO, office of District & Sessions Judge, Patiala, cannot be used and / or serve as a post office.
- 4. In view of the above, this Commission deems it fit to **Dismiss** this Appeal Case.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Sat Paul Sharma, R/o Flat No.203/1, Block-2, Housefed Complex,Banur, Mohali.

#### **Versus**

Public Information officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Patiala. First Appellate Authority, Municipal Corporation, Patiala.

## Appeal Case No. 5616 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **24.3.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding experience certificate for sterilization of stray dogs, enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.4.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **10.12.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **14.7.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent without intimation. The Commission has examined the Second Appeal filed by the appellant as well as his RTI application Dated 24.3.2021. Said RTI application does not constitute a valid request for "information" as defined by Section 2 of the RTI Act 2005. This Appeal is hereby **Dismissed**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.